1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		EDICT COLUDT
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	CARTER JESNESS,	CASE NO. C18-1225 RSM
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF JESNESS' MOTION FOR
11	v.	PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT
12	GREGORY LYLE BRIDGES,	JODGWENT
	D 0 1	
13	Defendant.	
13 14		
		DUCTION
14		
14 15	I. INTRO	aintiff Carter Jesness' Motion for Partial
14 15 16	I. INTRO	aintiff Carter Jesness' Motion for Partial Bridges on claim of violation of 18 U.S.C.
14 15 16 17	I. INTRO  This matter comes before the Court on Pla  Summary Judgment against Defendant Gregory Lyle	Bridges on claim of violation of 18 U.S.C. e. Having reviewed Plaintiff's motion and
14 15 16 17	I. INTRO  This matter comes before the Court on Pla  Summary Judgment against Defendant Gregory Lyle  2255(a). Dkt. #29. Defendant provided no response	Bridges on claim of violation of 18 U.S.C. e. Having reviewed Plaintiff's motion and
14 15 16 17 18	I. INTRO  This matter comes before the Court on Pla  Summary Judgment against Defendant Gregory Lyle  2255(a). Dkt. #29. Defendant provided no response all documents submitted in support thereof, the Cou  Summary Judgment.	Bridges on claim of violation of 18 U.S.C. e. Having reviewed Plaintiff's motion and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I. INTRO  This matter comes before the Court on Pla  Summary Judgment against Defendant Gregory Lyle  2255(a). Dkt. #29. Defendant provided no response all documents submitted in support thereof, the Cou  Summary Judgment.	Bridges on claim of violation of 18 U.S.C.  e. Having reviewed Plaintiff's motion and art GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Partial  GROUND
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I. INTRO  This matter comes before the Court on Pla  Summary Judgment against Defendant Gregory Lyle  2255(a). Dkt. #29. Defendant provided no response all documents submitted in support thereof, the Cou  Summary Judgment.  II. BACKO	Bridges on claim of violation of 18 U.S.C.  e. Having reviewed Plaintiff's motion and art GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Partial  GROUND  Inor and resided in Boulder, Colorado. Dkt.

1 | t | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | t

the purposes of engaging in, and did engage in, illicit sexual conduct with Jesness. *Id.* 2. Bridges' Answer admits to subsequently being charged with two counts of Travel with Intent to Engage in a Sexual Act with a minor, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b). Dkt. #17 at 2. Bridges admitted to entering pleas of guilty to those charges. *Id.* 

Jesness alleges Bridges is liable for the damages caused by the commission of the crimes against him. Dkt. #1 at 3. Jesness seeks damages for pain and suffering, lost earnings, lost earning capacity, reasonable medical expenses, loss of enjoyment of life, costs of litigation, and reasonable attorney fees. *Id.* at 3-4.

## **DISCUSSION**

## A. Legal Standard

Summary judgement is appropriate where "the movant shows that there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a); *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 477 U.S. 242, 247, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed. 2d 202 (1986). Material facts are those which might affect the outcome of the suit under governing law. *Anderson*, 477 U.S. at 248. In ruling on summary judgment, a court does not weigh evidence to determine the truth of the matter, but "only determine[s] whether there is a genuine issue for trial." *Crane v. Conoco, Inc.*, 41 F.3d 547, 549 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994) (citing *Federal Deposit Ins. Corp. v. O'Melveny & Meyers*, 969 F.2d 744, 747 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992)).

On a motion for summary judgment, the court views the evidence and draws inferences in the light most favorable to the non-moving party. *Anderson*, 477 U.S. at 255; *Sullivan v. U.S. Dep't of the Navy*, 365 F.3d 827, 832 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004). The Court must draw all reasonable inferences in favor of the non-moving party. *See O'Melveny & Meyers*, 969 F.2d at 747, *rev'd on other grounds*, 512 U.S. 79, 114 S. Ct. 2048, 129 L. Ed. 2d 67 (1994). However, the nonmoving party must make a "sufficient showing on an essential element of her case with respect

to which she has the burden of proof" to survive summary judgment. Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 323, 106 S. Ct. 2548, 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (1986). 2 **B.** Analysis 3 Jesness argues Bridges' convictions conclusively establish liability and that Bridges has 4 essentially admitted to the conduct in his Answer. Dkt. #29 at 2. The Court agrees. Any person, 5 while a minor, being a victim of a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2423 shall recover actual damages such person sustains. 18 U.S.C.A. § 2255. Having pled guilty and been sentenced for violations 7 of 18 U.S.C. § 2423, Bridges is unable to raise a genuine issue of material fact as to his liability 8 to Jesness. Dkt. #29 at 3. III. **CONCLUSION** 10 Having reviewed the relevant pleadings and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby 11 finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff Jesness' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Dkt. #29) is 12 GRANTED. 13 DATED this 28 day of October 2019. 14 15 16 ARDO S. MARTINEZ 17 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24